

Minutes of 133rd meeting of the Approval Committee for Dahej Special Economic Zone held on Thursday, 25th September, 2025 at 12:30 PM through hybrid mode (Webex Video Conferencing & Physical at Dahej SEZ) under the Chairpersonship of Shri Anupam Kumar, ITS, Development Commissioner, Dahej SEZ.

A. List of participants is annexed as per Annexure-A.

(The quorum is complete as per section 13(5) of the SEZ Act, 2005 read with Notification dated 26.07.2007 of MOCI issued from F. No. 1/1/2006-SEZ, as out of the present, there were six designated members).

B. Ratification of the Minutes of last meeting of the Approval Committee.

As no comments/observations on the minutes of 131st and 132nd meeting of the Approval Committee for Dahej SEZ held on 21.08.2025 and 29.08.2025 respectively have been received from any member, Minutes of the Meeting as circulated earlier were unanimously ratified.

C. The following cases were discussed as per the Agenda:

Item No. 133.02

Deferred cases for Dahej -SEZ: - One

Item No. 133.02.01

M/s Arham Biochem Private Limited

Request for change of name/transfer of land in favor of M/s Kumar Organic Products Limited

Shri Mitesh Shah, MD from M/s Arham Biochem Private Limited and Shri Sharath Kumar, CFO from M/s Kumar Organic Products Limited has appeared before the committee through virtual mode and explained their request.

M/s Arham Biochem Private Limited (has a valid letter of approval i.e. up to 28.03.2026) vide letter dated 04.04.2025 had requested this office for change of name/transfer of land in favour of M/s Kumar Organic Products Limited. The firm had requested for exit of their unit (Plot No. Z/43 & Z/44) from Dahej SEZ by transferring of assets/ land under the relevant Rule of SEZ. The firm had submitted list of Directors of M/s Kumar Organic Products Limited, Details for amendment/transfer of LoA and Products List. The firm has stated that they will have projected investment of Rs. 3000 Lakhs, Nil import projection for capital goods, Rs. 217 Crore projection for import of raw materials etc., employment projection is 200 persons, FOB value for export is Rs. 775 Crore, Foreign Exchange outgo is Rs. 217 Crore and NFE is Rs. 558 Crores.

This office vide letter dated 19.11.2024 had requested the firm to submit the revised/amended Registered Lease Deed to this office. But till date, the firm had not submitted the same to this office.

M/s DSL vide letter dated 28.02.2025 had requested the firm to make payment of Rs. 96,61,829 as Transfer fee to DSL for change of name of the firm from M/s

Asaram

Bitumode International Private Limited to M/s Arham Biochem Private Limited. The firm has briefed the committee that they have paid the transfer fee to the Developer.

The Developers representative have informed the committee that the firm has to amend the Registered Lease Deed in the name of M/s Arham Biochem Private Limited, since the name of the firm has been changed.

Rule 74A of the SEZ rules, 2006 provides for manner of transfer of assets and liabilities upon exit from one unit to another and the rule position is reproduced below: -

Transfer of Assets by Special Economic Zone Units upon their exit. - The Unit may opt out of Special Economic Zone by transferring its assets and liabilities to another person by way of transfer of ownership including sale of Special Economic Zone units subject to the following conditions: -

- (i) the Unit has held a valid Letter of Approval as well as lease of land for not less than a period of five years on the date of transfer;*
- (ii) the unit has been operational for a minimum period of two years after the commencement of production as on the date of transfer;*
- (iii) such sale or transfer transactions shall be subject to the approval of the Approval Committee;*
- (iv) the transferee fulfils all eligibility criteria applicable to a Unit; and*
- (v) the applicable duties and liabilities, if any, as calculated under rule 74, as well as export obligations of the transferor Unit, if any, shall stand transferred to the transferee Unit which shall be under obligation to discharge the same on the same terms and conditions as the transferor Unit.*

The case of the firm was placed before the 130th UAC held on 21.07. 2025. The 130th Approval Committee after detailed discussion unanimously deferred the proposal and directed the firm to submit the following documents: -

1. Submit a copy of Registered Lease Deed to the DC Office within 30 days. (As per Rule 74-A of the SEZ Rules, 2006)
2. Submit a Certificate regarding duties and export obligations as per the Customs Act, 1962 from the Specified Officer, DC office (As per Rule 74-A of SEZ Rules, 2006)
3. Shipping Bill-wise statement of export from 2022-23 to 2024-25 duly certified by the Chartered Accountant to verify that they have maintained positive NFE since the firm has opted for exit from the SEZ (As per Rule 74 of SEZ Rules, 2006)

The case of the firm was again placed before the 131st UAC held on 21.08. 2025. The 131st Approval Committee after detailed discussion unanimously decided to grant in principle approval for transfer of assets and exit to M/s Arham Biochem Private Limited as per Instruction No. 108. Accordingly, M/s Arham Biochem Private Limited was directed to submit the following documents: -

1. Submit a copy of Registered Lease Deed to the DC Office within 20 days (As per Rule 74-A of the SEZ Rules, 2006)

2. No Dues certificate from the DC office. In this regard, a Shipping Bill-wise statement of export from 2022-23 to 2024-25 duly certified by the Chartered Accountant to verify that they have maintained positive NFE, since the firm has opted for exit from the SEZ (As per Rule 74 of SEZ Rules, 2006).
3. No Dues certificate from the Specified Officer. (As per Rule 74-A of SEZ Rules, 2006). The exiting Unit can remove all existing material to DTA on payment of duties/taxes as assessed by the Specified Officer. The said goods can also be given to the incoming Unit as inter-unit transfer. The existing procedure for exit shall be followed.
4. NOC from the department concerned (in case of pending litigation / cases)
5. No Dues certificate from concerned authorities for water as well as charges payable for other utilities.
6. An affidavit signed by the proprietor/MD/partners/authorized personnel, as the case may be to the effect that labour dues in respect of existing employees have been cleared.
7. An affidavit signed by the proprietor/MD/partners/authorized personnel, as the case may be to the effect that incoming Unit shall be liable for payment of labour dues, if any, determined at a future date, by concerned authority or court.
8. A Legal Undertaking in Form L as stipulated in SEZ Rules, 2006.

All these documents will be scrutinized and if satisfied, an "Exit Order" will be issued by the DC office to M/s Arham Biochem Private Limited.

Subsequent to Exit of M/s Arham Biochem Private Limited, M/s Kumar Organic Products Limited shall submit a detailed proposal and justification for use of additional space to the DC Office. After due verification, the DC office will issue an approval letter for use of the additional space.

The firm vide letter dated 24.09.2025 has requested DC office to issue a Letter of Approval in favour of M/s Kumar Organic Products Limited and the contents of the letter are placed below: -

"With reference to the subject and matters cited above, we wish to submit the following for your kind consideration:

1. *As per the directives and decision of the 131st UAC meeting, we are pursuing the execution of the sublease deed with the Developer.*
 - *The NoC from SO will be submitted by today or latest by tomorrow, as confirmed by them.*
 - o *The draft sub-lease deed was shared by DSL on 20.08.2025 with instructions to proceed, but subsequently revoked by them on 26.08.2025, citing the requirement of submitting a plant layout in our name.*
2. *We respectfully submit that there is no change in the original plant layout already approved by the Authority under GDCR rules, and therefore resubmission is not required. This has been verified after consultation with former SEZ managers, developer's team, and architects.*



3. Despite having already paid the transfer fees on 25.03.2025, DSL has not provided clarity and is repeatedly delaying the process. This has caused undue hardship and unnecessary delay in completing the exit formalities.

4. Chronology of facts:

o The original company name BIPL was changed to ABPL; accordingly, we were liable only for transfer fees, which have already been paid in March 2025.

• The buyer, Kumar Organic Products Ltd. (KOPL), is also willing to pay applicable transfer fees from April 2025 onwards and has already submitted an intimation letter. However, DSL has not responded to date.

O This delay is causing a loss of 3-6 months of project execution, which directly impacts investment, export activity, and revenue generation for SEZ as well as the Government of India.

5. From our side (ABPL), we confirm that all dues and duties related to raw materials, finished goods, and capital goods have been cleared.

In view of the above, we sincerely request your kind intervention and assistance in resolving this matter at the earliest and issuing the Letter of Approval (LoA) in favour of Kumar Organic Products Ltd. This will enable them to commence their project activities, bring in new investment, and generate export revenue for the SEZ and the nation.

Repeatedly delaying process on the name of procedure working in time of EASE OF DOING BUSINESS. We remain committed to extending full cooperation in the process again humbly requesting to do needful for same.

The Committee patiently heard the request of the transferor firm and understood the hurdles being faced by them in registering the Lease Deed in their name. Shri Mitesh Shah, MD of M/s Arham Biochem Private Limited, has informed the Approval Committee that they have already paid the transfer fees to DSL on 25.03.2025 and the DSL has repeatedly delaying the process. Since there is no change in the original plan layout, therefore its resubmission is not required. This delay tactic has caused undue hardship to them.

The Transferor also informed that the UAC in its 109th meeting held on 27.12.2022 had approved their proposal for change in name of company from M/s Bitumode International Private Limited to M/s Arham Biochem Private Limited and thus there was only a requirement of registering the Lease Deed in the name of M/s Arham Biochem Private Limited. The Developer's representative had also informed during the 130th UAC meeting held on 21.07.2025 that the firm has to amend the Registered Lease Deed in the name of M/s Arham Biochem Private Limited, since the name of the firm has been changed. The requirement of submitting a plan layout in their name has not been raised by the Developer during the 130th UAC meeting.

When the Developer's representative was asked about the issue, he communicated that he has been directed to convey to the Committee that submission of a plan layout is mandatory for registering the Lease Deed. He was unable to provide the statutory provisions regarding necessity of submission of plan layout even in case of name change. Such a response is inimical to the trade promotion and totally against the objectives of SEZ Act, 2005.

It has been observed by the UAC that the Transferor has a valid Letter of Approval (valid up to 28.03.2026) and the land was leased to them (prior to name change it was M/s Bitumode International Private Limited) for not less than a period of five years on the date of transfer. Thus, the Transferor fulfills the requirements of Rule 74A of the SEZ rules, 2006.

During the meeting, the SO, Dahej SEZ has raised the issue of non-submission of certain documents by the Transferor Viz. Balance Sheets belonging to the Financial Years 2012-13 to 2015-16. Subsequently, the SO, Dahej SEZ has sent No Dues Certificate via email dated 03.10.2025 to the o/o DC, Dahej SEZ.

Thus, after considering all the concerns raised by the Transferor and the genuine hardship faced by them due to delay and hearing the views of the DSL's representative, the Committee unanimously decided to grant Exit to M/s Arham Biochem Private Limited and allow transfer of Assets to M/s Kumar Organic Products Limited. The Committee has recommended the o/o DC, Dahej to issue Exit order to M/s Arham Biochem Private Limited subject to certain terms & conditions and compliance of SEZ Act, 2005 and SEZ Rules, 2006 and all other relevant Rules and Regulations.

M/s Kumar Organic Products Limited has been directed to submit an online application having a detailed proposal w.r.t. investment, employment projections, export potential, etc. along with justification for use of additional space to o/o DC, Dahej SEZ. Subsequently, their request for change of entrepreneur as well as permission to use of additional space by M/s Kumar Organic Products Limited will be considered by the UAC in its next meeting.

Item No. 133.03

Other cases for Dahej SEZ: - Five

Item No. 133.03.01

M/s Meghna Colour Chem Pvt Ltd
Cancellation of LoA

Letter of Approval No. Dahej-SEZ/II/001/2023-24 dated 21.09.2023 was issued to M/s Meghna Colour Chem Pvt Ltd. It was observed that Letter of Approval (LoA) issued to the firm had expired on 21.09.2024. DC office vide letter dated 29.05.2025 had requested the firm to submit the reasons for not applying for extension of LoA.

The firm vide email dated 25.06.2025 had requested this office for cancellation of LoA. The firm had stated that "Due to dynamic changes in the international market, we have been compelled to reassess our business strategy and decide not to proceed with the project. We would like to bring to your notice that we have not taken physical possession of the said plot and we haven't executed agreement as well as acceptance letter. Due to uncertainty of global market in chemical sector, we are not in position to invest in SEZ. We request that you consider our application for cancellation of LoA and take necessary action".



The case was placed before the 130th UAC Meeting held on 21.07.2025, wherein the committee had unanimously approved the proposal subject to submission of No Dues Certificate of the Specified Officer, Dahej SEZ (As per Rule 74 of SEZ Rules, 2006), Indemnity Bond from the unit stating that they will abide by the applicable provisions of the SEZ Act, 2005 and SEZ Rules, 2006.

DC office vide email dated 06.08.2025 had requested the firm to submit No Dues Certificate from the Specified Officer, Dahej SEZ, the Developer, Dahej SEZ Ltd and Indemnity bond.

Since, no representative of the firm had appeared before the committee to explain their request, the Approval Committee has decided to defer the case for the next meeting.

Item No. 133.03.02

M/s Agro Life Science Corporation.
Cancellation of LoA

Shri Sumit Kumar Agarwal, General Manager and Shri Ishhan Wadekar, Manager (HR) from M/s Agro Life Science Corporation has appeared before the committee through physical mode and explained their request.

Letter of Approval No. Dahej-SEZ/II/029/2021-22 dated 22.09.2021 was issued to M/s Agro Life Science Corporation. It was observed that Letter of Approval (LoA) issued to the firm had expired on 22.09.2022.

DC office vide letter dated 19.11.2024 had requested the firm to inform this office about the reasons for non-submission of application for extension of LoA. The firm was given an opportunity of personal hearing before the Development Commissioner, Dahej SEZ and requested to submit the reply within a period of 15 days on receipt of the letter, but till date this office has not received any communication from the firm.

The SO, Dahej SEZ vide their letter dated 21.03.2025 had submitted the verification report and informed that during the verification of the site, it was found that there was no any construction or any boundary or any security person available at the site and also attached the photographs.

The case was placed before the 128th UAC Meeting held on 24.03.2025. Reasonable opportunity of being heard was accorded before the 128th UAC held on 24.03.2025. After discussion, the Committee unanimously recommended the Development Commissioner, Dahej SEZ to initiate process for action as specified in SEZ Rules, 2006/SEZ Act, 2005 and take decision as appropriate.

The case of the firm was once again placed before the 129th UAC Meeting held on 14.05.2025, wherein the UAC had desired that seven working days' time frame may

be provided to the firm for seeking their reply regarding non-submission of application for extension of LoA and if the unit fails to submit their reply within the stipulated time, then appropriate action may be initiated against the firm.

DC office vide letter dated 30.06.2025 had again requested the firm to inform this office about the reasons for non-submission of application for extension of LoA within 07 working days of receipt of this communication. If no response is received within the stipulated time, then this office may consider to take a suitable course of action.

The firm vide letter dated 02.07.2025 had requested for renewal of LoA, but the firm has not submitted the justification for non-submission of extension of LoA request within the stipulated time, their future business plan, approvals taken from various authorities, timeline for commencement of operations, activities undertaken during the period and detailed proposal for project completion to the DC office.

The SO, Dahej SEZ vide letter dated 11.07.2025 had stated that there are no confirmed/unconfirmed demands or duty liability pending against the unit.

During the meeting, the firm has informed that without valid LoA, they cannot start construction activities, hence requested the UAC to grant them LoA extension. They also promised that they will commence commercial production within a period of six months. The UAC has noticed that despite giving them opportunity thrice the firm has not submitted the application for extension of LoA along with justification for non-submission of extension of LoA request within the stipulated time, their future business plan, approvals taken from various authorities, timeline for commencement of operations, activities undertaken during the period and detailed proposal for project completion.

The relevant rule position (Rule 19(5) of the SEZ Rules, 2006) is reproduced below: -

If the Unit has not commenced production or service activity within the validity period or the extended validity period under sub-rule (4), the Letter of Approval shall be deemed to have been lapsed with effect from the date on which its validity expired.

The committee has noted that the case of the firm was placed before the 128th and 129th UAC held on 24.03.2025 and 14.05.2025 respectively and enough opportunity was given to the firm by the UAC, but the firm is not serious to commence its business operations since granting of Letter of Approval on 22.09.2021. More than four years have been passed since granting of LoA to the firm.

After hearing their request, the UAC was of the opinion that the firm has neither started construction activity in the allotted plot nor applied for extension of LoA within the stipulated time. Hence, after detailed discussion on the above facts the Approval Committee unanimously decided to reject the request of the firm. However, if the firm wants to commence their commercial activity on the land allotted

to them, they can file an appeal before the BoA as per Rule 19(4) of the SEZ Rules, 2006.

Item No. 133.03.03

M/s Fame Biofuels Pvt Ltd
Cancellation of LoA

Shri Dipen Shah, Director from M/s Fame Biofuels Private Limited has appeared before the committee through physical mode and explained their request.

Letter of Approval No. Dahej-SEZ/II/002/2022-23 dated 22.06.2022 was issued to M/s Fame Bio Fuels Private Limited. It was observed that Letter of Approval (LoA) issued to the firm had expired on 22.06.2023.

The case was placed before the 128th UAC Meeting held on 24.03.2025 wherein the committee had unanimously recommended the Development Commissioner, Dahej SEZ to initiate process for action as specified in SEZ Rules, 2006/SEZ Act, 2005 and take decision as appropriate. Reasonable opportunity of being heard was accorded by the 128th UAC held on 24.03.2025.

The firm vide email dated 06.05.2025 had requested DC office for cancellation of LoA. The firm had stated that "they have one unit at Jodhpur, Rajasthan. They had opted permission from Dahej SEZ and DC to export only. During past 2 years, due to effort and the policy by Union Govt. of India, the entire biodiesel industry is developing very rapidly. They informed that they are seeing a change in the scenario as these OMC's tenders are coming regularly since past 2 years for the procurement of biodiesel and looking at the current scenario, they requested to withdraw/cancel their LoA".

The case of the firm was once again placed before the 129th UAC Meeting held on 14.05.2025 wherein the UAC unanimously recommended the Development Commissioner, Dahej SEZ to initiate action for cancellation of LoA as per the request of the firm.

DC office vide email dated 22.07.2025 had requested the firm to submit No Dues Certificate of the Specified Officer, Dahej SEZ and the Developer, Dahej SEZ Ltd, indemnity bond and online application for cancellation of LoA.

The SO, Dahej SEZ vide letter dated 11.07.2025 had stated that there are no confirmed/unconfirmed demands or duty liability pending against the unit.

The Approval Committee after detailed discussion unanimously decided to grant Exit to the firm and recommended the o/o DC, Dahej to issue Exit order, subject to certain terms & conditions and compliance of SEZ Act, 2005 and SEZ Rules, 2006 and all other relevant Rules and Regulations.

Item No. 133.03.04

M/s Shiva Pharmachem Limited

Request for transfer of assets of M/s Neesa Infrastructure Limited to M/s Shiva Pharmachem Limited

Ms. Himali Patel, CFO from M/s Shiva Pharmachem Limited has appeared before the committee through physical mode and explained their request.

M/s Shiva Pharmachem Limited was issued Letter of Approval No. Dahej-SEZ/II/4/Shivapharmachem/2010-11 dated 04.10.2010 to manufacture and export items under various HS Codes falling under chapter 28 & 29. The unit had commenced commercial production w.e.f. 16.12.2014.

M/s Shiva Pharmachem Limited vide email dated 01.09.2025 has requested DC office and the CEO, DSL to transfer the lease hold of Plot No. Z-88/2 and Z-88/3, Dahej SEZ I, in favour of them and the corresponding execution of necessary changes in the lease deed.

The firm had stated that M/s Neesa Infrastructure Limited has been acquired by them as a going concern under the liquidation process conducted by the Liquidator, Mr. Jigar Bhatt, in terms of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code(IBC), 2016. The acquisition has been duly recorded in the Certificate of Sale dated 15.04.2025, and all claims of creditors stand satisfied through distribution of liquidation proceeds in accordance with Section 53 of the IBC.

The firm has also informed the communications had been sent by the Liquidator to DC office vide email dated 01st August 2025, wherein it was already intimated that:

"The leasehold rights of the above-mentioned plots form part of the going concern assets acquired by Shiva Pharmachem Limited. All historical liabilities, charges, and dues of M/s Neesa Infrastructure Limited stand extinguished under Section 32A of the IBC, as upheld by the Hon'ble Supreme Court; and necessary updates in records are to be affected to reflect M/s Shiva Pharmachem Limited as the lawful lessee".

The firm vide email dated 01.09.2025 had requested to initiate and complete the process of recording the transfer of leasehold rights in their favour and to execute the revised lease deed. They also confirm their willingness to pay the applicable transfer fees to the DSL in this regard, subject to its formal crystallization. Considering business requirements and the significant value of committed investment, the firm had requested to early action and cooperation in this matter.

M/s Shiva Pharmachem Limited also informed the Approval Committee that as far as the dues payable to DSL by M/s Neesa Infrastructure Limited are concerned, the provisions of the IBC, 2016 clearly stipulate that if a creditor does not submit a fresh claim in response to the public announcement issued under Regulation 12(2) of the IBBI (Liquidation Process) Regulations, 2016, the claims already admitted during

Himali

the Corporate Insolvency Resolution Process (CIRP) shall be continued in the liquidation proceedings.

Relevant rule position as per the SEZ Act, 2005 applicable in the instant case is placed below: -

74A. Transfer of Assets by Special Economic Zone Units upon their exit. - *The Unit may opt out of Special Economic Zone by transferring its assets and liabilities to another person by way of transfer of ownership including sale of Special Economic Zone units subject to the following conditions: -*

(i) the Unit has held a valid Letter of Approval as well as lease of land [or Standard Design Factory] for not less than a period of five years on the date of transfer;

(ii) the unit has been operational for a minimum period of two years after the commencement of production as on the date of transfer;

(iii) such sale or transfer transactions shall be subject to the approval of the Approval Committee;

(iv) the transferee fulfills all eligibility criteria applicable to a Unit; and

(v) the applicable duties and liabilities, if any, as calculated under rule 74, as well as export obligations of the transferor Unit, if any, shall stand transferred to the transferee Unit which shall be under obligation to discharge the same on the same terms and conditions as the transferor Unit.

After detailed discussion on this matter, the Approval Committee was of the view that since M/s Neesa Infrastructure Limited has not submitted any request for renewal of LoA after its expiry, hence their LoA stands lapsed/expired on 01.04.2012. Therefore, the Committee unanimously decided to grant EXIT to M/s Neesa Infrastructure Limited and recommended the o/o DC, Dahej to issue Exit order to M/s Neesa Infrastructure Limited based on the Certificate of Sale dated 15.04.2025 and also allowed transfer of assets to M/s Shiva Pharmachem Limited.

The Committee also directed M/s Shiva Pharmachem Limited to submit a detailed proposal w.r.t. investment, employment projections, export potential, etc. along with justification for use of additional space to the o/o DC, Dahej. M/s Shiva Pharmachem Limited shall also submit copy of NCLT order to DC Office. Subsequently, their request for change of entrepreneur will be considered by the UAC in its next meeting.

Item No. 133.03.05

Dahej SEZ Units

Monitoring of SEZ Entities by the UAC

The Development Commissioner, Dahej SEZ -cum- Chairperson of the UAC briefed the UAC members that it has come to the notice of the DC office that some of the units are following a lackadaisical & casual approach while submitting the application for Renewal/Extension of LoA and APR to DC office. Further, it has also been noticed that several units have not even executed Registered Lease Deed with

the Developer (DSL) or not submitted the copy of Registered Lease Deed to the DC office.

The committee took a serious note of the fact that submission of required documents to the office of the DC, Dahej SEZ is necessary for effective monitoring of compliances. **Sub-Section 14(1)(f)** of the SEZ Act, 2005, inter alia, empowers the UAC to monitor and supervise compliance of conditions subject to which the Letter of Approval or Permission, if any, has been granted to the Developer or entrepreneur.

The committee was of the view that since monitoring of compliances is within its ambit and imposition of penalty is a quasi-judicial power exercised by the Development Commissioner, Dahej SEZ under the provisions of Foreign Trade (Development & Regulation) Act, 1992 (22 of 1992), thus the Development Commissioner may take penal action for non-compliance and impose penalty on a case to case basis, as deemed appropriate after taking into account the circumstances and merits of the case as per the SEZ Act, 2005 and SEZ Rules, 2006 and the FTDR Act, 1992 and rules made thereunder.

Hence, the Approval Committee after detailed discussion on the matter unanimously took following decisions:

1. The o/o Development Commissioner is requested to maintain a list of all such compliances in a comprehensive word format for timely monitoring.

Name of the firm/ Unit	IEC No.	Plot No. in the Dahej SEZ	Date of issue of LoA	Whether Copy of Registered Lease Deed is submitted to the DC office	APR submitted within due date or after lapse of due date	Compliances required under any Act of either the Central Government or the State Government, as the case may be.	Remarks, if any

2. The o/o DC, Dahej will issue instructions in this regard and the same shall be circulated for information to all stakeholders via email. Such instructions should also be placed on the Notice Board and on the website of the Developer.
3. In case of default or non-compliance either by the Units or by the Developer, o/o Development Commissioner shall place the names of such defaulting firms/Units before the UAC and the UAC shall regularly monitor the compliances and suggest penal action/remedial measures, wherever required.
4. The above format for monitoring of units may be modified by the UAC based upon the inputs received from the members of the UAC.

The committee has also directed the CEO, DSL to provide a list of units who have not executed the Registered Lease Deed with the Developer (DSL) to the o/o DC, Dahej SEZ within 15 days.

(Signature)
08/10/25
(Amardeep Satauria)

Assistant Development Commissioner,
For Development Commissioner,
Dahej Special Economic Zone

Annexure-A

List of Participants of 133rd Approval Committee Meeting of Dahej SEZ held on 25.09.2025: -

01	Shri Anupam Kumar, ITS, Development Commissioner	Chairperson
02	Shri Ramsingh Meena	CGST-DIV-7, Bharuch
03	Shri H.J. Jadeja	GM-DIC-Bharuch
04	Ms. Darshita Parikh	GPCB- RO- Bharuch
05	Shri Lokesh Meena	Deputy Commissioner of Income Tax Vadodara
06	Shri Venkatesh Rao	Assistant DGFT, Vadodara
07	Shri Vijay Singh Dhusia, SO, Dahej SEZ.	Special Invitee
08	Shri Amardeep Satauria, ADC, Dahej SEZ	Coordinator
09	Shri Niraj Shah, Manager (Infra)	Representative, Dahej SEZ Limited

.....